

July 24, 2026 - Email from owner

Date: July 24, 2026

From: [Owner]

To: Ken Inskeep

Subject: Fw: Santa Rita Ranch III Document for [Home address redacted]

Personal identifiers and protected personal details have been removed. This is a text rendering, not the raw email. Statements are the correspondents' positions, not adjudicated findings.

Mr. Inskeep,

I am writing regarding the Courtesy Notice dated July 23, 2026, concerning the alleged presence of wood pallets and pavers at my property.

The condition referenced in the notice was corrected within approximately ten minutes of my receipt of the notice. Current photographs are attached.

Accordingly, please confirm that the Association considers this matter resolved and that the courtesy notice will be withdrawn from my compliance history. I will not agree to the creation or maintenance of a record suggesting that I am a noncompliant homeowner based upon a temporary condition that was immediately addressed upon notification.

Although I appreciate the Association's decision to issue a courtesy notice before initiating formal enforcement, the notice itself is deficient and unnecessarily confusing. It fails to identify the specific provision of the Declaration, Design Guidelines, Rules, or other governing document allegedly violated. Instead, it simply labels the condition as "Trash" and instructs the homeowner to remove wood pallets and pavers. Homeowners are entitled to know precisely what covenant or rule they are alleged to have violated without being required to speculate.

The notice is also internally inconsistent. It directs the homeowner to cure the alleged condition within fifteen days while simultaneously providing twenty-one days to appeal. Those competing deadlines create unnecessary confusion regarding a homeowner's procedural rights and obligations. Having now received this notice, I have standing to object to these deficiencies and intend to do so if the notice is not withdrawn.

More broadly, I cannot ignore the context in which this notice was issued. After months of correspondence regarding the Association's statutory compliance, governing documents, enforcement policies, and governance practices, it is disappointing that Association resources were directed toward what proved to be a minor and temporary condition that no longer existed within minutes of notification. That is not an efficient use of the Association's limited resources.

[Personal occupational and imagery details redacted] Those records document numerous properties exhibiting conditions that appear comparable to, or more significant than, the one

identified in this notice. Accordingly, I fully expect the Association to demonstrate that its enforcement efforts are uniform, impartial, and consistent throughout the community.

If this notice is not withdrawn, or if any further enforcement action is pursued, I will exercise my appeal rights and seek records sufficient to determine whether similarly situated properties received comparable notices and whether enforcement has been administered consistently. The Association should therefore ensure that it can substantiate equal enforcement across the community, as any material disparity would raise significant concerns regarding arbitrary or selective enforcement.

Please confirm that this matter has been closed and that the courtesy notice has been withdrawn from my compliance history.

Sincerely,

[Owner] [Home address redacted] [Home locality redacted]

Editorial and provenance notes

Source record: SRC-000285. The complete raw email and original headers are retained privately.

Attachments and inline images are not reproduced in this email rendering; refer to separately listed records in the document library. Attachment filenames are not reproduced.

Occupation and imagery details

Repeated quoted thread history omitted; separate messages retain the substantive exchange.